UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V.				
	Byron Lorenti-Beltran Defendant	Case No. 1:09-cr-00379-GJQ			
	Bookan				
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Ac efendant be detained pending trial.	t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings	of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U a federal offense a state or local offense that wou existed – that is	.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of uld have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	or life imprisonment.			
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in:			
		<u> </u>			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conv U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	ricted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 al offenses.			
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim				
		tructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	e defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	ł		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).				
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption to person or the community. I further find that defendant has no		∍r		
	Alternative Findi	ings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	ommitted an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	e is prescribed in:			
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s		s		
√ (1)	Alternative Findi There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ings (B)			
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s	safety of another person or the community.			
(-/	Part II – Statement of the Rea				
1:	find that the testimony and information submitted at the deten				
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that: dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest de	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released				
3. Defen	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the co	ourt's attention should his circumstances change.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 22, 2009	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	